

STATINTL

MEMORANDUM FOR: C/OP/RS

10 JUN 1974

John, Attached is a memo from one of our senior people. I would like to get your views on it. [REDACTED] seems to be saying that if the intent of the Regs in using the phrase "length of service" is really to mean time-in-grade as a factor to be used in promotion consideration, then he has no argument except that the phrase should be changed to "time-in-grade." Further, he appears to be making a point that the Regs on this issue need some amplification in a way that will eliminate the promotion consideration of an employee beyond his level of capability when the consideration has been overly influenced by the longevity factor.

What do you think?

STATINTL

5 June 1974

(DATE)

Chief, PB/SS/NPIC

FORM NO.
1 AUG 54

101

REPLACES FORM 10-101
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)